

13FJMJIDC

Conference

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3 -----x

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
5 v.
6 DAVID MIDDENDORF, et al.,
7 Defendants.

18 Cr. 36 JPO

8 March 15, 2018
9 2:40 p.m.

10 Before:
11

12 HON. J. PAUL OETKEN,

13 District Judge

14
15 APPEARANCES

16 GEOFFREY S. BERMAN,
17 Interim United States Attorney for the
Southern District of New York
18 REBECCA G. MERMELSTEIN,
AMANDA K. KRAMER,
Assistant United States Attorneys

19 PERILLO KLEIN & BOXER, LLP,
20 Attorneys for defendant Middendorf

BY: NELSON A. BOXER, Esq.
AMY R. LESTER, Esq.
ALEXANDRA REBECCA CLARK, Esq.

- and -
BRUCH HANNA, LLP
BY: GREGORY S. BRUCH, Es.
KHIRAN SIDHU, Esq.
Of counsel

13FJMJIDC

Conference

2 APPEARANCES (Continued)

4 CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL, LLP,
5 Attorneys for defendant Whittle
BY: NOLA BREGLIO HELLER, Esq.
6 BRADLEY JOSEPH BONDI, Esq.
Of counsel

7
8 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
9 Attorneys for defendant Britt
BY: MELINDA L. HAAG, Esq.
10 ROBERT M. STERN, Esq.
11 MATTHEW R. SHAHABIAN, Esq.
Of counsel

12 THOMPSON HINE, LLP
13 Attorneys for defendant Holder
BY: NORMAN A. BLOCH, Esq.
14 EMILY J. MATHIEU, Esq.
15 Of counsel

16 BROWN RUDNICK
17 Attorneys for defendant Wada
BY: STEPHEN COOK, Esq.
18 JUSTIN WEDDLE, Esq.
19 Of counsel

20 Also Present:
LYESON DANIEL,
21 United States Postal Inspector

13FJMJIDC

Conference

1 (In open court)

2 (Case called)

3 THE COURT: Welcome. This is a status conference, as
4 we arranged at the last conference. I want to go over a few
5 things, the schedule as well as the status of discovery
6 production, and I also want to schedule hearings related to
7 potential conflicts of counsel, known as Curcio hearings.

8 As you know, I received a number of letters related to
9 Curcio hearings. I don't think we'll do that today, but I
10 think ideally I'll try to schedule that today.

11 I have set a trial date for October 15th. My hope is
12 we can keep that trial date, but I know, before I confirm that,
13 I want to get a status on how discovery production and review
14 is going. Let's start with, you all know what the case is
15 about, five counts, conspiracy to defraud the United States as
16 well as conspiracy to commit wire fraud, and three substantive
17 wire fraud counts.

18 At the last conference, the government indicated Phase
19 I of discovery would be ideally done by February 8th and Phase
20 II production would be February 22nd. What is the status? Has
21 that all been produced?

22 MS. MERMELSTEIN: Your Honor, 97 percent has been
23 produced. We produced in February search warrant affidavits,
24 emails obtained pursuant to those search warrants, relevant
25 text messages from search warrants on the defendants' phones,

13FJMJIDC

Conference

1 documents produced by the SEC, KPMG, PCAOB. Those represent
2 the last discovery in this case.

3 There are a handful of documents from other
4 custodians, subscriber information and toll records from email
5 accounts, bank and financial records. In an effort to assist
6 the defendants in their review, pursuant to their request, in
7 February we provided a list of anonymized entities that were
8 not identified in the indictment, together with lists of all of
9 the issuers, were on the 15th, 20th, and 27th lists from PCAOB,
10 and we produced a handful of additional documents from KPMG as
11 they came in, things we received after the initial discovery
12 deadline.

13 What that leaves in terms of production is the
14 following: Next week we have for the defendants photos and
15 videos taken from during the search warrant of Ms. Holder's
16 home and an additional production of documents from KPMG we
17 recently received just south of 2,000 documents.

18 The outstanding items are as follows: We are told by
19 KPMG they have about 2000 additional documents they're
20 preparing to produce to us. Those are in three categories.
21 About 400 relate to work papers, 500 are hard copy documents
22 collected during the initial investigation, and 500 relate to
23 the hiring of Brian Sweet. We will turn them around as soon as
24 we have them, and I expect the defendants will have them
25 shortly.

13FJMJIDC

Conference

1 The one -- and it is a small quantity -- the one
2 challenge, we have had enormous technological difficulties with
3 the cell phones. Every time they get loaded, they crash the
4 computer they're on. Most of what I expect will be responsive
5 to the search warrants has been produced already, which is to
6 say, the text messages.

7 There are a handful, however, of other things from the
8 phones that are responsive. That includes internet browsing
9 history. There are a handful of photos that are responsive.
10 We are having trouble getting them off the phones, as a
11 technological matter. I am optimistic we will have it done
12 shortly. I would say it may take several more weeks to get
13 that resolved. I don't think as a practical matter it is a
14 significant volume or significant kind of discovery, so I don't
15 think that should necessitate any delay, but that is the
16 status.

17 THE COURT: There was reference to a related SEC civil
18 proceeding, and it wasn't clear at the point of the last
19 conference whether that was going to be stayed?

20 MS. MERMELSTEIN: It has been stayed.

21 THE COURT: I believe the government has provided
22 proposed language for the Curcio hearings. As I understand it,
23 defendants Middendorf, Whittle, Britt and Holder all require
24 Curcio hearings. I think I received letters from both sides as
25 to those and not defendant Wada. Is that right?

13FJMJIDC

Conference

1 MR. COOK: That's correct.

2 THE COURT: Going back to discovery, is there anything
3 any of the defense counsel want to tell me in terms of
4 discovery?

5 MR. BOXER: Not from us, your Honor.

6 MS. HELLER: Not from us at this time.

7 MR. BLOCH: As far as the execution of the search
8 warrant at her home, approximately five electronic devices were
9 seized and not returned to us, including non-telephonic
10 devices, in particular external hard drives, and we provided a
11 hard drive to the government shortly after our last conference
12 in order to receive that material, and we haven't received it
13 and it wasn't mentioned by the government just now. We would
14 like to have that.

15 THE COURT: Can you provide a status on that.

16 MS. MERMELSTEIN: I thought it had gone out. If it
17 hadn't, we will give it immediately, give all the defendants
18 responsive documents from those devices and hard drives. Ms.
19 Holder can have all of them and we'll get those done.

20 THE COURT: Are there any other discovery issues
21 anyone wants to raise? All right.

22 We'll get to the scheduling of Curcio hearings in a
23 minute. I did receive a letter I believe yesterday regarding
24 the briefing on the anticipated motion to dismiss the
25 indictment, and as I understand it -- this is for Mr. Stern,

13FJMJIDC

Conference

1 counsel for Mr. Britt -- as I understand it, the request is
2 that the parties who are moving to dismiss, which I understand
3 to include defendants Britt, Middendorf, Whittle and Holder,
4 would like 50 pages for a joint brief, plus additional pages
5 for defendant-specific briefs, to total 100 pages in total, as
6 I understand it.

7 Does the government have -- that is a lot of pages,
8 but I am okay with it -- does the government have any issues
9 either way?

10 MS. MERMELSTEIN: We don't have any position on how
11 many pages they should have.

12 MS. HELLER: Your Honor, one request from defendant
13 Whittle -- we discussed this with the government and all of the
14 counsel for the other defendants -- we would ask the court for
15 an additional two weeks, until April 13th, for the deadline to
16 file motions to dismiss.

17 As your Honor knows, we were retained on February
18 12th, which was about two weeks behind everyone else. We were
19 actually one of the only teams, if not the only team, that
20 didn't have any prior familiarity with the case. We have been
21 drinking from a fire hose in terms of facts and law, and there
22 are fairly complex issues. We are confident we will be able to
23 file on the 13th.

24 What we are using the time to do is really streamline
25 and hope we will be able to join, and if we have to file

13FJMJIDC

Conference

1 anything separately, it will be as short and concise as
2 possible. So we have discussed with the government and other
3 counsel, and no one else objects to that date, if it is all
4 right with your Honor?

5 THE COURT: You said April 13th?

6 MS. HELLER: Right. That would be two weeks from the
7 13th.

8 THE COURT: Yes.

9 MS. HELLER: That would be our request.

10 THE COURT: The government is okay with that?

11 MS. MERMELSTEIN: Yes, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: No defendant has an issue with that? All
13 right. That is fine. So the motion to dismiss will be filed
14 on April 13th. I forget how many weeks I gave the government
15 before?

16 MS. MERMELSTEIN: I don't think we actually set a
17 deadline for the government's opposition brief. I think that
18 in light of the length of the motion and both Ms. Kramer and I
19 will be on long securities fraud trials in April, separate
20 ones, we ask for four weeks.

21 THE COURT: April 13th for defendant's motion and
22 briefs, May 11th for the government's opposition. Defendants,
23 want to do a reply brief? All right. How about May 25th, two
24 weeks for the reply, May 25th.

25 I may schedule a conference when we get to the end,

13FJMJIDC

Conference

1 but before I determine whether we'll need -- I probably will
2 have oral argument on it, but we'll decide that at the end of
3 the conference.

4 MR. COOK: Mr. Wada will also be filing a motion to
5 dismiss, although we will not be on the joint brief being
6 submitted.

7 THE COURT: You made me twitch.

8 Now I have 125 pages?

9 MR. COOK: We will, however, attempt not to overlap
10 with any arguments made by the other parties. We would ask
11 your Honor that we be given the opportunity to submit an
12 application for more than 25 pages, in the unlikely event that
13 becomes necessary.

14 THE COURT: You can always ask. You are not going to
15 be joining the joint brief?

16 MR. COOK: We may join some of the arguments. We will
17 not be on the brief.

18 THE COURT: Fair enough. You will go with the same
19 dates, the same deadlines?

20 MR. COOK: Yes.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MS. HAAG: Just so you know, we have agreed to share
23 the draft brief with counsel for Mr. Wada, so they can make
24 that determination.

25 THE COURT: We need to schedule the Curcio hearings,

13FJMIDC

Conference

1 and other than that, I think I would probably want to schedule,
2 have something on the calendar for a few weeks after the reply
3 brief, so it would be oral argument on the motion, assuming I
4 need oral argument, and also just a general status conference
5 to address any other issues that arise.

6 Why don't I look at June. How is Thursday, June 7th?
7 Is that your trial?

8 MS. MERMELSTEIN: Certainly I will still be on trial
9 at this point. Ms. Kramer may as well.

10 MS. KRAMER: If I am not, I will not be in the 7th or
11 the 11th because I will see my family for the first time in
12 eight weeks.

13 THE COURT: Okay. How about the 12th, June 12th?

14 MS. MERMELSTEIN: That is fine. If I am still on
15 trial, they will proceed without me.

16 THE COURT: Ms. Kramer, that will work for you?

17 MS. KRAMER: Yes.

18 THE COURT: Does any defendant have a problem with
19 June 12th, as far as you know?

20 Let's do the afternoon of June 12th. Can we make it
21 2:30 pm, June 12th. That will be a status conference and oral
22 argument, if necessary, on the motion. June 12th, 2018, 2:30
23 pm, here in this courtroom.

24 In terms of Curcio hearings, if it works, I would like
25 to schedule them back-to-back for the four Curcio hearings we

13FJMJIDC

Conference

1 need to schedule. I was looking at April 2nd. If any people
2 could do Monday, April 2nd? Are you all in a position to go
3 ahead and schedule those?

4 MS. HAAG: Yes, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: I'll go down the line.

6 MR. BOXER: That is fine for us, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Yes?

8 MS. HELLER: I may have a conflict in the early
9 afternoon. If your Honor is inclined to have a morning time
10 slot, I would ask for that.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Sorry. Middendorf. You represent
12 Mr. Whittle?

13 MS. HELLER: Yes, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: And Mr. Britt's counsel?

15 MS. HAAG: April 2nd is fine.

16 THE COURT: Ms. Holder's counsel, can you do April
17 2nd?

18 MR. BLOCH: Sunday, April 1st, is Easter Sunday and
19 Ms. Holder will be flying in from Houston. She would like to
20 come in the night before so we don't have any misses. If it
21 could be on the 3rd, we can do it.

22 THE COURT: Would others prefer the 3rd, because I can
23 do the 3rd as well?

24 MR. BOXER: No preference for us.

25 MS. HELLER: No preference for us.

13FJMJIDC

Conference

1 MS. HAAG: The 3rd is fine.

2 THE COURT: Why don't we do them on the 3rd, at 2:00
3 o'clock, 2:00, 2:30, 3:00, 3:30. You all can decide which of
4 those slots you want. I'll put them all back-to-back. All
5 right, the Curcio hearings will be April 3rd, 2:00 o'clock in
6 this courtroom. I think that covers the issues I need to
7 address. Let me ask if there is anything else anybody else
8 wanted to address? Otherwise, I will see you on the date.

9 MS. HAAG: We would respectfully request, and I
10 certainly heard what the court said about the October 15th
11 date, but we respectfully request to move the trial six
12 additional months, to April 15th of 2019.

13 We have not, as you know at the last appearance, we
14 have not received any discovery at all. We knew something
15 about the case. We are in a better position than Mr. Whittle's
16 counsel, but we didn't know as much as we know today. In our
17 experience, this is a complex case from the defense
18 perspective. We do believe that it will require significant
19 preparation.

20 THE COURT: Seven months from today?

21 MS. HAAG: I understand, your Honor. We have looked
22 at everything. We believe it requires significant preparation.

23 I, of course, don't want to reveal too much defense
24 strategy, but it is fair to say, and it is obvious to say, this
25 is a case that calls for experts, so among other things, we

13FJMIDC

Conference

1 need to locate an expert, identify an expert, bring them on
2 board, give them time to review materials and to submit an
3 opinion to us on various issues.

4 One of those experts I think on the work papers Ms.
5 Mermelstein referred to will be quite relevant to and I do
6 believe we may have a discovery issue around that. It is my
7 understanding that the government is taking the position that
8 it will produce, and is only required to produce, selected
9 papers, selected documents from the work papers.

10 I think it is possible once we consult with an expert,
11 that expert is going to believe we need the work papers in
12 their entirety, and that will be an issue that we'll, of
13 course, try to work out with the government.

14 That is one issue with respect to significant
15 preparation. Of course, we believe that we're submitting a
16 substantial motion to dismiss for the court. These are really
17 interesting, meaty issues. This is not a run-of-the-mill
18 motion to dismiss. What we are looking at now, while we are
19 looking at a June hearing and, of course, we expect the court
20 to need some time to consider the issues and then issue a
21 ruling, and then depending on the court's ruling, of course, if
22 the court dismisses this case, we won't need to worry about a
23 trial date.

24 If the court does something short of that, what the
25 court rules I think will shape this case significantly. We're

13FJMIDC

Conference

1 also -- and the court will hear more detail about this in the
2 Curcio hearing -- in the process of retaining conflict counsel.
3 There is one aspect of this case we are not able to handle and
4 we need to bring in conflict counsel. We have interviewed a
5 number of people for that position. We will make a decision,
6 the client will make a decision before the Curcio hearing, so
7 we'll be able to share with the court who that is. That person
8 is going to need to come up to speed starting at that point in
9 time.

10 Then finally, your Honor, with respect to the October
11 date, I have a trial set in San Francisco September 17th with a
12 three-week trial estimate. For all of those reasons, we
13 respectfully request to move this trial six months beyond the
14 date it is currently set.

15 We submit and, of course, it is for the court to
16 decide, it is a reasonable request based on the complexity of
17 the case certainly from the defense perspective. We believe we
18 need that time to competently represent Mr. Britt at trial, and
19 so we do make that request.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear other people's
21 position. Mr. Boxer?

22 MR. BOXER: I am fine letting the government go first.
23 I do have something to add.

24 MS. MERMELSTEIN: We object to that, your Honor, for a
25 number of reasons. I think it is obviously always complicated

13FJMJIDC

Conference

1 to set a trial date with this many lawyers.

2 This trial date was set in January when everyone said
3 they would be here. Other conflicts should have to be worked
4 around. With respect to work papers, so it is clear, the
5 government produced to defendants every work paper in our
6 possession. We are not holding anything back. If the
7 defendants think there are documents the government didn't get
8 from KPMG they need, we are happy to have those conversations.
9 There is nothing that hasn't gone out.

10 With respect to the complexity of the case, I guess
11 we'll have to agree to disagree. I don't think it is that
12 complicated. For a securities fraud case of this size, it is
13 not charged as a securities fraud as a complicated matter. It
14 is not that complicated, the facts and documents are not that
15 complicated. The evidence is straightforward.

16 The volume of documents is, by my count, 75,000
17 documents so far. In a white collar case in the trial I start
18 in three weeks, there are three million. It is not that
19 complicated. As your Honor pointed out, seven months is a long
20 time. We are not talking starting a trial in the short term,
21 so we can move forward.

22 MR. BOXER: On behalf of Mr. Middendorf, we very much
23 would like to keep the October trial date. His arrest and
24 indictment has had severe consequences on my client, both
25 personally and professionally, and I think he is entitled to at

13FJMIDC

Conference

1 least a reasonably speedy trial. As we say, it is seven months
2 away, and to wait 16 months from his arrest to trial is just
3 not in his best interests. I can't comment on counsel's
4 ability to be prepared or the issues she raised, but I can say
5 in our experience, and based on what we have seen, we are very
6 confident we can be prepared in mid-October. To wait 16 months
7 with the case hanging over his head just doesn't seem
8 appropriate to us. For that reason and those reasons, we very
9 much would like to keep the October date.

10 THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Heller.

11 MS. HELLER: Yes, your Honor, we do join in Mr.
12 Britt's request for an adjournment. I am not sure we believe
13 an adjournment quite as long as to April is necessary, but I
14 look at it from a practical perspective. The expert issue I
15 think is the most salient one here. It is going to take some
16 time to locate an expert.

17 There are a lot of experts that have conflicts,
18 unfortunately, and given the accounting and auditing world is
19 somewhat of a small world, and there are a lot of folks we have
20 approached that are unable to assist from the defense side, it
21 will take some time, has taken some time. We have been working
22 had and it will continue to take time.

23 Then there is the issue of getting all the work
24 papers. I agree with Ms. Haag there will be back-and-forth
25 with the government on whether we have everything we need.

13FJMIDC

Conference

1 When I spoke with the government earlier this week, I may have
2 misunderstood, but I thought to understand Ms. Kramer to say we
3 might be expecting more audit work papers. Maybe that is
4 coming in short order.

5 Either way, we have just received everything we need,
6 or we haven't yet received all the work papers, and what is
7 going to be required is for the expert to be going through
8 these work papers in excruciating detail to be able to look at
9 all the details involved, and that process itself may take
10 between three and five months, just the process of the expert
11 going through all of these voluminous documents.

12 They may need other things that they need to go
13 through, and so at that point we are really running up against
14 an October trial date, and the expert may have a hard time
15 getting reports out, then we may be in a position where we are
16 asking your Honor in September for needing more time, and that
17 is more disruptive to everyone's schedule.

18 We are looking at it from a practical perspective in
19 terms of what we need to do to get ready for us. It is the
20 expert factor that makes a difference here, so that perhaps a
21 date in February of next year or something like that might be
22 the happy medium, where we know we can be prepared and we
23 wouldn't have to ask for adjournment as the year goes on.

24 THE COURT: Thank you.

25 How about Mr. Bloch?

13FJMIDC

Conference

1 MR. BLOCH: Your Honor, we join in the request for the
2 adjournment for the reasons expressed by Ms. Haag and also Ms.
3 Heller.

4 In addition, your Honor, I am not sure that in a case
5 like this, the number of documents is the only measure of what
6 the discovery looks like because there are thousands of text
7 messages and email correspondence, many of which I have seen
8 already, but some of which we have not, and there is material
9 on the devices that were seized, for example, and we need to
10 look at those.

11 We need to consider whether there are additional
12 motions to be made apart from the motion directed to the
13 indictment, including whether or not to challenge the search of
14 Ms. Holder's home, and if there are any other discovery
15 disputes especially with respect to potential third-party
16 subpoenas where the recipients may resist our request.

17 So to prepare the case properly and for all these
18 other reasons, we ask that it be postponed, the trial be
19 postponed until April as well.

20 THE COURT: And counsel for Mr. Wada?

21 MR. COOK: Mr. Wada doesn't object to an adjournment
22 of the trial. We are not wedded to the April date, but we do
23 believe additional time will become necessary for all the
24 reasons stated.

25 THE COURT: I am going to keep the October 15th date.

13FJMIDC

Conference

1 I think seven months is enough. It is a lot of time. If
2 something unexpected happens, I will deal with it. I think
3 October 15th is a reasonable amount of time.

4 I will rule promptly on the motions. In fact, I know
5 I said June 12th for the next date, but I am now wondering
6 whether it might help to move up argument earlier than -- so
7 that right after, I will be reading the briefs as they come in,
8 and the week after the reply brief comes in would be the week
9 of Memorial Day. I wonder if we could have argument and a
10 conference on May 31st.

11 Is the government both still on trial?

12 MS. MERMELSTEIN: On what date?

13 THE COURT: May 31st.

14 MS. MERMELSTEIN: I am confident I will be.
15 Ms. Kramer may or may not. Ms. Greenwood starts trial and may
16 be available. The government would be ready and we'll be here.
17 It may not be people sitting at this table.

18 THE COURT: Does anybody have a problem with May 31st
19 instead of June 12th?

20 MS. HAAG: No, your Honor.

21 MS. HELLER: No, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: I would like to move it to May 31st, at
23 3:00 p.m., instead of what I said earlier, which was June 12th
24 for oral argument. I want to keep things moving.

25 MS. MERMELSTEIN: Is the time the same?

13FJMIDC

Conference

1 THE COURT: 3:00 o'clock, May 31st, at 3:00 o'clock.

2 THE COURT: Are there any other issues anyone wanted
3 to address today?

4 MS. MERMELSTEIN: If we are totally done, the
5 government will ask time be excluded through to the trial date,
6 if there is not going to be objection. Otherwise, through the
7 next conference date. I wonder if it makes sense to set a
8 motion schedule with respect to motions other than motions to
9 dismiss the indictment.

10 THE COURT: Suppression motions?

11 MS. MERMELSTEIN: I can't think of any other they
12 might make, but anything other than motions to dismiss the
13 indictment.

14 MR. BOXER: I didn't hear. I am sorry?

15 THE COURT: To go ahead and set a deadline for motions
16 other than motions to dismiss the indictment, not motions in
17 limine before the trial, but any other motions to suppress.

18 I would like a deadline on those just to make sure we
19 are moving things along. Do we have any other motions that
20 could be filed by April 13th, the same date as motions to
21 dismiss the indictment?

22 MR. BLOCH: We haven't received the material, and so
23 in order to make the judgment whether it is even worth it to
24 make the motion, we need to receive what was seized, and we
25 haven't received it yet.

13FJMIDC

Conference

1 MS. HELLER: Your Honor, we simply need more time to
2 review discovery to make sure we have everything.

3 MR. BLOCH: There may also be discovery motions
4 themselves.

5 THE COURT: Right. I will set a deadline of, let's
6 say, May 25th for any pretrial motions. Let me ask counsel for
7 defendants, do you have any objection to exclusion of time to
8 October 15th, counsel for Mr. Middendorf?

9 MR. BOXER: One moment, your Honor?

10 THE COURT: Sure.

11 (Off-the-record discussion)

12 MR. BOXER: We have no objection, your Honor, to
13 October 15th.

14 THE COURT: Any objection by defendant Whittle?

15 MS. HELLER: No, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Britt?

17 MS. HAAG: No, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Ms. Holder?

19 MR. BLOCH: No, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: And Mr. Wada?

21 MR. COOK: No, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: I grant the application, and I am
23 excluding time under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161
24 (h) (7) (A), to October 15th, the date of the trial, to allow the
25 parties additional time to review discovery, address any

13FJMJIDC

Conference

1 discovery issues, prepare motions and then discuss possible
2 disposition and consider possible dispositions.

3 Is there Anything else anyone wanted to cover?

4 MS. MERMELSTEIN: No, your Honor.

5 MR. BOXER: No, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

7 (Court adjourned)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25